GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1903.

(Banking)

File No. 12-13

Serial Nos. 5-6

Papers Filed.

Month November 13

SUBJECT.

Informs that the production of a succession certificate is essential before payment can be made on the policy held by his son-in-law late Krishnappa

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Oct 12-86 1-2 B 15-12	
Aug 09-86 1-1 - R 42-08	

G.R.S. 11] 1913-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Banking

NOTES.

File No. /2

FILE HEADING.

Petitions

From P. R. Venkatasubbiah, Retired Amildar

No. Petn Dated 24.10.13 Received 25.10.13

Diary No. 1611	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 5

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the bonus due on the policy held by his deceased son in law, to Krishnappa Dy Clerk, Dk Excise office Kolar may be paid without the production of the usual succession certificate.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The matter was once before Govt. - then at the last investigation of the widow of Krishnappa - It is now reported that she is also dead - The petnr, the father of the deceased lady

General and Revenue Secretariat.

B File No. 12 of 1913.

Serial No.

has apparently very confused notions about the matter.

In his petn of 29th Septr 1913 he mentions of an interview with the Diwan in which he states 'that Govt had ruled that no claims of relations & no requisition of the Civil courts should be allowed against the Lions money' how he wishes it to be paid to him to satisfy the urgent demands of the creditors of my late son in law!'

2 Therefore on his own admission it is not for the benefit of the children of the deceased Krishnappa.

Again he states in his petn of the 29th - in the concluding portion thereof 'I filed an application for a succession certificate I have not received it as yet'.

3. B15-12 deals with the prayer of Seethamma, widow of Krishnappa to be paid the

General and Revenue Secretariat. | B File No. 12 of 1913.

Serial No.

bonus without the succession certificate. Govt refused to grant her request & the same order was conveyed to petnr on his petn to the Insurance Committee after the death of his daughter. He now reiterates his request to Govt. It is a very unfortunate case.

The present petn also discloses the fact that the bonus amount of Govt employees, in the lower grades of service especially, finds its way ultimately to the hands of creditors. Govt protection not wanted.

Secy 31/10. SAV 31/10.

4. Whether any claimes of the insured who can come in & claim the guardianship in preference to the maternal grand father? If there are more than one claimes the case is simple & on the applicant furnishing an indemnity bond we can

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | (5) File No. 12 of 1913.

Serial No.

authorise the Payt of the bonus money without the production of a Certe. Please obtain from the Insurance Office

4/11/13

5. There is no information in the Insurance Office as to whether there are or not any relatives of the insured to claim the bonus in preference to the nominal guardian of the insured's minor son.

4-11-13.

Submd.
Draft SW 4/11 5/11

6. Think a Certe necessary & should be produced before the bonus can be given.

5.XI.13

Agreed

I agree

6/11/13

Draft 6.XI.13

6 Draft Submd.
Draft SW 7/11 8/11

8.XI.13

GPB—60,000—25-9-13.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

B File No. 12 of 1913.

Serial No.	
6	Venkata subbiah, Retired Amildar, Sankarpuri Rc No K 2090 d 12-11-1913 informs that the produc of a loss succession certifica is essential before payme can be made on the Policy held by his ~~late~~ son in Sam Lakshmi Krishnappa

To

The Financial Secretary

to the Government of Mysore

Bangalore

DIARY No. 1611

10/3-10 14

SECTION Banking

FILE No. 12-13

ITEM No. 5

Sir,

In continuation of my application of 29th September addressed to the Secretary, Insurance Department, explaining certain circumstances with the object of the same reaching the kind notice of Government in the matter of their order conveyed to me by the Secretary Insurance Department I have since received his reply no: 860 of 21st instant informing me apparently what was communicated to me before. I beg to enclose for ready reference copy of my application of 29th September 191 and to request that you will be pleased to obtain orders

'orders of Government for
payment to me of the Bonus
f Rs 543/- earned by my
'ate son-in-law C. Krishnappa
Excise Deputy clerk of the
Kolar District office, as his
ife, my daughter Seethamma
lso died subsequently and all
he family of the deceased
re left in a helpless condition.
he urgent demand of the creditors of my late son-in-law
nd the marriage and other
xpenses since incurred by me
n behalf of the orphans have
be satisfied at as an early
'ate as possible. I pray that
'nder very hard circumstances
f the case, Government
may be pleased to extend
he Concession prayed for
s they have been pleased
to

to do so in exceptional
of the kind recently.

The Endorsement no: 860
from the Insurance Secretary
is herein enclosed for kind
perusal.
As already stated I am prepared to give
personal security or indemnity Bond.
I beg to remain

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

P R Venkatasubbaniya
24 October 1913.

Retired amildar
& Guardian of the
Children of the deceased
C. Krishnappa.

[P.S. I beg to submit that before the applica
for the payment of the Bonus to my deceased
daughter was complied with, she died. Her
anniversary is approaching early in Decem
and her husband's anniversary passed off some
months before and the Bonus money was not
useful for the poor deceased nor to the orphans up
till now.] PRV

Dated 29th September 1913,
addressed to G. S. Chakravarti
Esquire M. A. M. R. A. S,
Comptroller & Secretary to the
Insurance Committee to the
Government of Mysore.

—

In Connection with the
request of my deceased daughter
Seethamma to be paid the Bonus
of Rs 543/- earned by her late
husband C. Krishnappa, Excise
Deputy clerk District office Kolar,
I beg to submit the following
few lines for such support
as the pitiable circumstances
of my daughter's family deserv
at your hands.

My daughters' request
was not granted by Governme
as conveyed in Insurance Secretary
Docket no: 1208 dated 12.10.1912,
and

and the production of a Succession
certificate was deemed Compulsory
by Government in her unlucky Case,
whilst in several other Cases
which nominees had not been
named the request of the heirs
had been complied with. When
my daughter was alive I appeared
before the Dewan Saheb and explained
the grievance to his satisfaction.
I brought to his notice that the
system of Insurance in my humble
opinion, was solely intended to
provide relief in the Case especially
of poor public servants of the
State. I also took the liberty to
impress upon the Dewan Saheb
that Govt. had ruled that no
claims of the deceased's brothers
or other relations and no requisition
of the Civil Courts should be
allowed against the Bonus money.
Apart from all this, the Bonus
in my daughter's case being
only

only Rs 543/- to refuse the grant of it and to compel the production of a certificate would be an anomaly in as much as in the case of Savings Bank deposit, the Treasury officer is allowed discretion to pay to the heirs of the deceased when the amount is not more than a thousand rupees Within four months after the death of my Son-in-law, my daughter died leaving a son and four daughters. I have now to look after the Education etc of my grandson C. Narasinga Rau and also maintain his sisters. All this has been an additional burden when I expected that the Bonus money would be useful not only to pay to the deceased's creditors who are clamouring for an early payment of their dues, but also to defray

the expenses incurred in connection
with the marriage of one of my
grand-daughters. With great
difficulty I filed an application
for a Succession Certificate.
I have not received the Succession
Certificate as yet

From

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, Esq., M.A., F.R.A.S.,
Secy., Mysore State Life Insurance Committee,
Bangalore.

To
Mr. P.R. Venkatasubbiah,
Retired Amildar, Sankarpu
Bangalore Cit

Dated 21st

Despatched 22 October 1913.

Received

General No. 860

Enclosure No.

In reply to his application d
29th September 1913, Mr. P.R. Venk
subbiah, is informed that no alter
has since been made in the Mysore
Life Insurance Rules and the produ
ion of the usual succession certif
is necessary to claim the bonus du
the Policy held by late Krishnappa

Asstt. Secretary.

G. R. S. 26]

File N

Serial N

6/11

Diary No. of letter replied to 1611

No. H 2067

§ 12-11-1913

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Banking

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 12-11-13

Signed by W L Narasimha Rao

The reference of his application No H 1611 § 24-10-1913 to the O R Venkatasubbaiah, Retired Amildar, Sankarpur, Bangalore City - is informed that the production of a succession certificate is essential before payment can be made on the Policy held by his son in favour of Krishnappa

12.XI.13

for the Secretary

SUBJECT.